

Comparative Data for Cost-Effectiveness 16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2)(K)

School: Rutland Northeast Primary School
S.U.: Rutland City S.D.

A list of schools and school districts in each cohort may be found on the DOE website under "School Data and Reports":
<http://www.state.vt.us/educ/>

FY2011 School Level Data

Cohort Description: Elementary school, enrollment ≥ 200 but <300
(36 schools in cohort)

Cohort Rank by Enrollment (1 is largest)
19 out of 36

School level data		Grades Offered	Enrollment	Total Teachers	Total Administrators	Stu / Tch Ratio	Stu / Admin Ratio	Tchr / Admin Ratio
Smaller →	Porters Point School	K - 2	243	17.50	1.00	13.89	243.00	17.50
	Summit Street School	PK - 3	244	19.50	1.00	12.51	244.00	19.50
	East Montpelier Elementary School	PK - 6	245	20.14	2.00	12.16	122.50	10.07
	Rutland Northeast Primary School	PK - 2	251	23.50	1.00	10.68	251.00	23.50
← Larger	Dothan Brook School	K - 5	251	27.00	1.50	9.30	167.33	18.00
	Hyde Park Elementary School	PK - 6	252	23.80	1.00	10.59	252.00	23.80
	Hardwick Elementary School	K - 6	254	25.40	1.00	10.00	254.00	25.40
Averaged SCHOOL cohort data			249.42	21.40	1.14	11.66	219.59	18.84

School District: Rutland City
LEA ID: T173

Special education expenditures vary substantially from district to district and year to year. Therefore, they have been excluded from these figures.

The portion of current expenditures made by supervisory unions on behalf of districts varies greatly. This year's figures include district assessments to SUs. Doing so makes districts more comparable to each other. The consequence is that THESE FIGURES ARE ONLY COMPARABLE TO FIGURES USED IN THE SIMILAR FILES FOR FY10, FY11, and FY12.

FY2010 School District Data

Cohort Description: K - 12 school district
(33 school districts in cohort)

Grades offered in School District

Student FTE enrolled in school district

Current expenditures per student FTE EXCLUDING special education costs

Cohort Rank by FTE (1 is largest)
2 out of 33

School district data (local, union, or joint district)

Smaller →	Milton	PK-12	1,679.00	\$10,491
	Colchester	K-12	2,110.78	\$11,230
	South Burlington	K-12	2,384.20	\$13,060
	Rutland City	K-12	2,402.48	\$11,557
← Larger	Burlington	PK-12	3,286.68	\$14,288

Current expenditures are an effort to calculate an amount per FTE spent by a district on students enrolled in that district. This figure excludes tuitions and assessments paid to other providers, construction and equipment costs, debt service, adult education, and community service.

Averaged SCHOOL DISTRICT cohort data

804.66

\$12,444

FY2012 School District Data

LEA ID	School District	Grades offered in School District	School district tax rate			Total municipal tax rate, K-12, consisting of prorated member district rates		
			SD Equalized Pupils	SD Education Spending per Equalized Pupil	SD Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	MUN Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	MUN Common Level of Appraisal	MUN Actual Homestead Ed tax rate
Smaller →	T093 Hartford	-	1,506.43	12,878.78	1.3114	1.3114	0.9422	1.3918
	T126 Milton	-	1,733.92	11,189.02	1.1393	1.1393	1.0283	1.1079
	T050 Colchester	-	2,159.18	11,624.96	1.1837	1.1837	0.9967	1.1876
	T173 Rutland City	-	2,319.35	11,391.20	1.1599	1.1599	0.8410	1.3792
← Larger	T191 South Burlington	-	2,411.59	12,978.05	1.3215	1.3215	0.9623	1.3733
	T037 Burlington	-	3,968.67	11,261.05	1.1467	1.1467	0.8808	1.3019

The Legislature has required the Department of Education to provide this information per the following statute:

16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2) The school, at least annually, reports student performance results to community members in a format selected by the school board. . . . The school report shall include:

(K) data provided by the commissioner which enable a comparison with other schools, or school districts if school level data are not available, for cost-effectiveness. The commissioner shall establish which data are to be included pursuant to this subdivision and, notwithstanding that the other elements of the report are to be presented in a format selected by the school board, shall develop a common format to be used by each school in presenting the data to community members. The commissioner shall provide the most recent data available to each school no later than October 1 of each year. Data to be presented may include student-to-teacher ratio, administrator-to-student ratio, administrator-to-teacher ratio, and cost per pupil.

Comparative Data for Cost-Effectiveness 16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2)(K)

School: Rutland Northwest Primary School
S.U.: Rutland City S.D.

A list of schools and school districts in each cohort may be found on the DOE website under "School Data and Reports":
<http://www.state.vt.us/educ/>

FY2011 School Level Data

Cohort Description: Elementary school, enrollment ≥ 200 but <300
(36 schools in cohort)

Cohort Rank by Enrollment (1 is largest)
5 out of 36

School level data		Grades Offered	Enrollment	Total Teachers	Total Administrators	Stu / Tchrr Ratio	Stu / Admin Ratio	Tchrr / Admin Ratio
Smaller ->	C P Smith School	K - 5	272	23.40	1.00	11.62	272.00	23.40
	Chester Andover USD #29 (School)	PK - 6	276	21.90	1.00	12.60	276.00	21.90
	Integrated Arts Academy At HO Wheeler	PK - 5	278	28.00	1.00	9.93	278.00	28.00
	Rutland Northwest Primary School	PK - 2	282	26.00	1.00	10.85	282.00	26.00
<- Larger	Bennington Elementary School	K - 5	291	17.70	2.00	16.44	145.50	8.85
	Union Street School	K - 5	292	25.00	1.00	11.68	292.00	25.00
	Bristol Elementary School	K - 6	294	29.30	2.00	10.03	147.00	14.65
Averaged SCHOOL cohort data			249.42	21.40	1.14	11.66	219.59	18.84

School District: Rutland City
LEA ID: T173

Special education expenditures vary substantially from district to district and year to year. Therefore, they have been excluded from these figures.

The portion of current expenditures made by supervisory unions on behalf of districts varies greatly. This year's figures include district assessments to SUs. Doing so makes districts more comparable to each other. The consequence is that THESE FIGURES ARE ONLY COMPARABLE TO FIGURES USED IN THE SIMILAR FILES FOR FY10, FY11, and FY12.

FY2010 School District Data

Cohort Description: K - 12 school district
(33 school districts in cohort)

Grades offered in School District

Student FTE enrolled in school district

Current expenditures per student FTE EXCLUDING special education costs

Cohort Rank by FTE (1 is largest)
2 out of 33

School district data (local, union, or joint district)

Smaller ->	Milton	PK-12	1,679.00	\$10,491
	Colchester	K-12	2,110.78	\$11,230
	South Burlington	K-12	2,384.20	\$13,060
	Rutland City	K-12	2,402.48	\$11,557
<- Larger	Burlington	PK-12	3,286.68	\$14,288

Current expenditures are an effort to calculate an amount per FTE spent by a district on students enrolled in that district. This figure excludes tuitions and assessments paid to other providers, construction and equipment costs, debt service, adult education, and community service.

Averaged SCHOOL DISTRICT cohort data

804.66 **\$12,444**

FY2012 School District Data

LEA ID	School District	Grades offered in School District	School district tax rate			Total municipal tax rate, K-12, consisting of prorated member district rates		
			SD Equalized Pupils	SD Education Spending per Equalized Pupil	SD Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	MUN Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	MUN Common Level of Appraisal	MUN Actual Homestead Ed tax rate
Smaller ->	T093 Hartford	-	1,506.43	12,878.78	1.3114	1.3114	0.9422	1.3918
	T126 Milton	-	1,733.92	11,189.02	1.1393	1.1393	1.0263	1.1079
	T050 Colchester	-	2,159.18	11,624.96	1.1837	1.1837	0.9967	1.1876
	T173 Rutland City	-	2,319.35	11,391.20	1.1599	1.1599	0.8410	1.3792
<- Larger	T191 South Burlington	-	2,411.59	12,978.05	1.3215	1.3215	0.9623	1.3733
	T037 Burlington	-	3,968.67	11,261.05	1.1467	1.1467	0.8808	1.3019

The Legislature has required the Department of Education to provide this information per the following statute:

16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2) The school, at least annually, reports student performance results to community members in a format selected by the school board. . . . The school report shall include:

(K) data provided by the commissioner which enable a comparison with other schools, or school districts if school level data are not available, for cost-effectiveness. The commissioner shall establish which data are to be included pursuant to this subdivision and, notwithstanding that the other elements of the report are to be presented in a format selected by the school board, shall develop a common format to be used by each school in presenting the data to community members. The commissioner shall provide the most recent data available to each school no later than October 1 of each year. Data to be presented may include student-to-teacher ratio, administrator-to-student ratio, administrator-to-teacher ratio, and cost per pupil.

Comparative Data for Cost-Effectiveness 16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2)(K)

School: Rutland Intermediate School
S.U.: Rutland City S.D.

A list of schools and school districts in each cohort may be found on the DOE website under "School Data and Reports":
<http://www.state.vt.us/educ/>

FY2011 School Level Data

Cohort Description: Elementary school, enrollment ≥ 300
(27 schools in cohort)

Cohort Rank by Enrollment (1 is largest)
2 out of 27

School level data		Grades Offered	Enrollment	Total Teachers	Total Administrators	Stu / Tchr Ratio	Stu / Admin Ratio	Tchr / Admin Ratio
Smaller →	J F Kennedy Elementary School	PK - 5	443	35.56	1.78	12.46	248.88	19.98
	Malletts Bay School	PK - 5	457	30.60	2.00	14.93	228.50	15.30
	Swanton Schools	PK - 6	590	54.00	2.00	10.93	295.00	27.00
	Rutland Intermediate School	3 - 6	631	56.20	3.00	11.23	210.33	18.73
← Larger	Milton Elementary School	PK - 6	940	74.00	3.00	12.70	313.33	24.67
Averaged SCHOOL cohort data			406.41	32.67	1.62	12.44	250.64	20.15

School District: Rutland City
LEA ID: T173

Special education expenditures vary substantially from district to district and year to year. Therefore, they have been excluded from these figures.

The portion of current expenditures made by supervisory unions on behalf of districts varies greatly. This year's figures include district assessments to SUs. Doing so makes districts more comparable to each other. The consequence is that THESE FIGURES ARE ONLY COMPARABLE TO FIGURES USED IN THE SIMILAR FILES FOR FY10, FY11, and FY12.

FY2010 School District Data

Cohort Description: K - 12 school district
(33 school districts in cohort)

Grades offered in School District

Student FTE enrolled in school district

Current expenditures per student FTE EXCLUDING special education costs

Cohort Rank by FTE (1 is largest)
2 out of 33

School district data (local, union, or joint district)

Smaller →	Milton	PK-12	1,679.00	\$10,491
	Colchester	K-12	2,110.78	\$11,230
	South Burlington	K-12	2,384.20	\$13,060
	Rutland City	K-12	2,402.48	\$11,557
← Larger	Burlington	PK-12	3,286.68	\$14,288

Current expenditures are an effort to calculate an amount per FTE spent by a district on students enrolled in that district. This figure excludes tuitions and assessments paid to other providers, construction and equipment costs, debt service, adult education, and community service.

Averaged SCHOOL DISTRICT cohort data

804.66 **\$12,444**

FY2012 School District Data

LEA ID	School District	Grades offered in School District	School district tax rate			Total municipal tax rate, K-12, consisting of prorated member district rates		
			SD Equalized Pupils	SD Education Spending per Equalized Pupil	SD Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	MUN Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	MUN Common Level of Appraisal	MUN Actual Homestead Ed tax rate
Smaller →	T093 Hartford	-	1,506.43	12,878.78	1.3114	1.3114	0.9422	1.3918
	T126 Milton	-	1,733.92	11,189.02	1.1393	1.1393	1.0283	1.1079
	T050 Colchester	-	2,159.18	11,624.96	1.1837	1.1837	0.9967	1.1876
	T173 Rutland City	-	2,319.35	11,391.20	1.1599	1.1599	0.8410	1.3792
← Larger	T191 South Burlington	-	2,411.59	12,978.05	1.3215	1.3215	0.9623	1.3733
	T037 Burlington	-	3,968.67	11,261.05	1.1467	1.1467	0.8808	1.3019

The Legislature has required the Department of Education to provide this information per the following statute:
16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2) The school, at least annually, reports student performance results to community members in a format selected by the school board. . . . The school report shall include:

(K) data provided by the commissioner which enable a comparison with other schools, or school districts if school level data are not available, for cost-effectiveness. The commissioner shall establish which data are to be included pursuant to this subdivision and, notwithstanding that the other elements of the report are to be presented in a format selected by the school board, shall develop a common format to be used by each school in presenting the data to community members. The commissioner shall provide the most recent data available to each school no later than October 1 of each year. Data to be presented may include student-to-teacher ratio, administrator-to-student ratio, administrator-to-teacher ratio, and cost per pupil.

Comparative Data for Cost-Effectiveness
16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2)(K)

School: Rutland Middle School
S.U.: Rutland City S.D.

A list of schools and school districts in each cohort may be found on the DOE website under "School Data and Reports":
<http://www.state.vt.us/educ/>

FY2011 School Level Data

Cohort Description: Middle school
(25 schools in cohort)

Cohort Rank by Enrollment (1 is largest)
13 out of 25

School level data		Grades Offered	Enrollment	Total Teachers	Total Administrators	Stu / Tchr Ratio	Stu / Admin Ratio	Tchr / Admin Ratio
Smaller →	Bratt Area Middle School UHSD #6	7 - 8	277	31.50	2.00	8.79	138.50	15.75
	Middlebury Union Middle School #3	7 - 8	284	30.30	2.00	9.37	142.00	15.15
	Lamoille Union Middle School #18	7 - 8	284	24.83	2.00	11.44	142.00	12.42
	Rutland Middle School	7 - 8	294	33.30	2.00	8.83	147.00	16.65
← Larger	Riverside Middle School	6 - 8	301	29.50	1.70	10.20	177.06	17.35
	North Country Junior UHSD #22 (School)	7 - 8	318	33.00	2.00	9.64	159.00	16.50
	Albert D Lawton School	6 - 8	332	31.50	2.00	10.54	166.00	15.75
Averaged SCHOOL cohort data			313.32	29.33	1.71	10.68	183.61	17.19

School District: Rutland City
LEA ID: T173

Special education expenditures vary substantially from district to district and year to year. Therefore, they have been excluded from these figures.

The portion of current expenditures made by supervisory unions on behalf of districts varies greatly. This year's figures include district assessments to SUs. Doing so makes districts more comparable to each other. The consequence is that THESE FIGURES ARE ONLY COMPARABLE TO FIGURES USED IN THE SIMILAR FILES FOR FY10, FY11, and FY12.

FY2010 School District Data

Cohort Description: K - 12 school district
(33 school districts in cohort)

Grades offered in School District

Student FTE enrolled in school district

Current expenditures per student FTE EXCLUDING special education costs

Cohort Rank by FTE (1 is largest)
2 out of 33

School district data (local, union, or joint district)

Smaller →	Milton	PK-12	1,679.00	\$10,491
	Colchester	K-12	2,110.78	\$11,230
	South Burlington	K-12	2,384.20	\$13,060
	Rutland City	K-12	2,402.48	\$11,557
← Larger	Burlington	PK-12	3,286.68	\$14,288

Current expenditures are an effort to calculate an amount per FTE spent by a district on students enrolled in that district. This figure excludes tuitions and assessments paid to other providers, construction and equipment costs, debt service, adult education, and community service.

Averaged SCHOOL DISTRICT cohort data

804.66 **\$12,444**

FY2012 School District Data

LEA ID	School District	Grades offered in School District	School district tax rate			Total municipal tax rate, K-12, consisting of prorated member district rates		
			Equalized Pupils	Education Spending per Equalized Pupil	Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	Common Level of Appraisal	Actual Homestead Ed tax rate
Smaller →	T093 Hartford	-	1,506.43	12,878.78	1.3114	1.3114	0.9422	1.3918
	T126 Milton	-	1,733.92	11,189.02	1.1393	1.1393	1.0283	1.1079
	T050 Colchester	-	2,159.18	11,624.96	1.1837	1.1837	0.9967	1.1876
	T173 Rutland City	-	2,319.35	11,391.20	1.1599	1.1599	0.8410	1.3792
← Larger	T191 South Burlington	-	2,411.59	12,978.05	1.3215	1.3215	0.9623	1.3733
	T037 Burlington	-	3,968.67	11,261.05	1.1467	1.1467	0.8808	1.3019

The Legislature has required the Department of Education to provide this information per the following statute:
16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2) The school, at least annually, reports student performance results to community members in a format selected by the school board. . . . The school report shall include:

(K) data provided by the commissioner which enable a comparison with other schools, or school districts if school level data are not available, for cost-effectiveness. The commissioner shall establish which data are to be included pursuant to this subdivision and, notwithstanding that the other elements of the report are to be presented in a format selected by the school board, shall develop a common format to be used by each school in presenting the data to community members. The commissioner shall provide the most recent data available to each school no later than October 1 of each year. Data to be presented may include student-to-teacher ratio, administrator-to-student ratio, administrator-to-teacher ratio, and cost per pupil.

Comparative Data for Cost-Effectiveness 16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2)(K)

School: Rutland High School
S.U.: Rutland City S.D.

A list of schools and school districts in each cohort may be found on the DOE website under "School Data and Reports":
<http://www.state.vt.us/educ/>

FY2011 School Level Data

Cohort Description: Senior high school
(28 schools in cohort)

Cohort Rank by Enrollment (1 is largest)
7 out of 28

School level data		Grades Offered	Enrollment	Total Teachers	Total Administrators	Stu / Tchr Ratio	Stu / Admin Ratio	Tchr / Admin Ratio
Smaller ->	North Country Senior UHSD #22 (School)	9 - 12	857	74.00	5.00	11.58	171.40	14.80
	South Burlington High School	9 - 12	893	71.85	3.00	12.43	297.67	23.95
	Brattleboro UHSD #6 (School)	9 - 12	895	65.50	4.00	13.66	223.75	16.38
	Rutland High School	9 - 12	963	68.50	4.00	14.06	240.75	17.13
<- Larger	Mt Mansfield USD #17 (School)	9 - 12	971	57.40	3.00	16.92	323.67	19.13
	Mt Anthony Senior UHSD #14	9 - 12	1,038	69.59	2.00	14.92	519.00	34.80
	Burlington Senior High School	9 - 12	1,077	94.03	3.50	11.45	307.71	26.87
Averaged SCHOOL cohort data			667.46	55.86	2.87	11.95	232.68	19.47

School District: Rutland City
LEA ID: T173

Special education expenditures vary substantially from district to district and year to year. Therefore, they have been excluded from these figures.

The portion of current expenditures made by supervisory unions on behalf of districts varies greatly. This year's figures include district assessments to SUs. Doing so makes districts more comparable to each other. The consequence is that THESE FIGURES ARE ONLY COMPARABLE TO FIGURES USED IN THE SIMILAR FILES FOR FY10, FY11, and FY12.

FY2010 School District Data

Cohort Description: K - 12 school district
(33 school districts in cohort)

Grades offered in School District

Student FTE enrolled in school district

Current expenditures per student FTE EXCLUDING special education costs

Cohort Rank by FTE (1 is largest)
2 out of 33

School district data (local, union, or joint district)

Smaller ->	Milton	PK-12	1,679.00	\$10,491
	Colchester	K-12	2,110.78	\$11,230
	South Burlington	K-12	2,384.20	\$13,060
	Rutland City	K-12	2,402.48	\$11,557
<- Larger	Burlington	PK-12	3,286.68	\$14,288

Current expenditures are an effort to calculate an amount per FTE spent by a district on students enrolled in that district. This figure excludes tuitions and assessments paid to other providers, construction and equipment costs, debt service, adult education, and community service.

Averaged SCHOOL DISTRICT cohort data

804.66 **\$12,444**

FY2012 School District Data

LEA ID	School District	Grades offered in School District	School district tax rate			Total municipal tax rate, K-12, consisting of prorated member district rates		
			SD Equalized Pupils	SD Education Spending per Equalized Pupil	SD Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	MUN Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	MUN Common Level of Appraisal	MUN Actual Homestead Ed tax rate
Smaller ->	T093 Hartford	-	1,506.43	12,878.78	1.3114	1.3114	0.9422	1.3918
	T126 Milton	-	1,733.92	11,189.02	1.1393	1.1393	1.0283	1.1079
	T050 Colchester	-	2,159.18	11,624.96	1.1837	1.1837	0.9967	1.1876
	T173 Rutland City	-	2,319.35	11,391.20	1.1599	1.1599	0.8410	1.3792
<- Larger	T191 South Burlington	-	2,411.59	12,978.05	1.3215	1.3215	0.9623	1.3733
	T037 Burlington	-	3,968.67	11,261.05	1.1467	1.1467	0.8808	1.3019

The Legislature has required the Department of Education to provide this information per the following statute:

16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2) The school, at least annually, reports student performance results to community members in a format selected by the school board. . . . The school report shall include:

(K) data provided by the commissioner which enable a comparison with other schools, or school districts if school level data are not available, for cost-effectiveness. The commissioner shall establish which data are to be included pursuant to this subdivision and, notwithstanding that the other elements of the report are to be presented in a format selected by the school board, shall develop a common format to be used by each school in presenting the data to community members. The commissioner shall provide the most recent data available to each school no later than October 1 of each year. Data to be presented may include student-to-teacher ratio, administrator-to-student ratio, administrator-to-teacher ratio, and cost per pupil.