



**Comparative Data for Cost-Effectiveness  
16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2)(K)**

School: Rutland Middle School  
S.U.: Rutland City S.D.

A list of schools and school districts in each cohort may be found on the DOE website under "School Data and Reports":  
<http://www.state.vt.us/educ/>

**FY2009 School Level Data**

**Cohort Description:** Middle school  
(25 schools in cohort)

**Cohort Rank by Enrollment (1 is largest)**  
8 out of 25

School level data		Grades Offered	Enrollment	Total Teachers	Total Administrators	Stu / Tch	Stu / Admin Ratio	Tchr / Admin Ratio
Smaller ->	Hartford Memorial Middle School	6 - 8	327	36.05	2.00	9.07	163.50	18.03
	Albert D. Lawton School	6 - 8	356	33.00	2.00	10.79	178.00	16.50
	Edmunds Middle School	6 - 8	362	37.50	2.00	9.65	181.00	18.75
	<b>Rutland Middle School</b>	<b>7 - 8</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>32.03</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>11.30</b>	<b>181.00</b>	<b>16.02</b>
<- Larger	Camels Hump Middle USD #17	5 - 8	375	30.10	2.00	12.46	187.50	15.05
	Lyman C. Hunt Middle School	6 - 8	392	41.77	2.00	9.38	196.00	20.89
	Browns River Middle USD #17	5 - 8	434	32.04	2.00	13.55	217.00	16.02
<b>Averaged SCHOOL cohort data</b>			<b>322.16</b>	<b>29.66</b>	<b>1.83</b>	<b>10.86</b>	<b>175.97</b>	<b>16.20</b>

School District: Rutland City  
LEA ID: T173

Special education expenditures vary substantially from district to district and year to year. Therefore, they have been excluded from these figures.

The portion of current expenditures made by supervisory unions on behalf of districts varies greatly. This year's figures include district assessments to SUs. Doing so makes districts are more comparable to each other. The consequence is that THESE FIGURES ARE ONLY COMPARABLE TO FIGURES USED IN THE SIMILAR FILE FOR FY2010.

**FY2008 School District Data**

**Cohort Description:** K - 12 school district  
(33 school districts in cohort)

Grades offered in School District    Student FTE enrolled in school district    Current expenditures per student FTE EXCLUDING special education costs

**Cohort Rank by FTE (1 is largest)**  
2 out of 33

**School district data (local, union, or joint district)**

Smaller ->	Milton	PK-12	1,724.38	\$9,353
	Colchester	K-12	2,125.28	\$10,256
	South Burlington	K-12	2,380.87	\$12,056
	<b>Rutland City</b>	<b>K-12</b>	<b>2,557.45</b>	<b>\$10,320</b>
<- Larger	Burlington	PK-12	3,449.46	\$11,879

Current expenditures are an effort to calculate an amount per FTE spent by a district on students enrolled in that district. This figure excludes tuitions and assessments paid to other providers, construction and equipment costs, debt service, adult education, and community service.

**Averaged SCHOOL DISTRICT cohort data**

**825.03    \$11,226**

**FY2010 School District Data**

LEA ID	School District	Grades offered in School District	School district tax rate			Total municipal tax rate , K-12, consisting of prorated member district rates		
			SD Equalized Pupils	SD Education Spending per Equalized Pupil	SD Homestead Ed tax rate	MUN Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	MUN Common Level of Appraisal	MUN Actual Homestead Ed tax rate
Smaller ->	T126 Milton	PK-12	1,816.93	11,313.78	1.1388	1.1388	1.0088	1.1289
	T050 Colchester	K-12	2,268.80	11,045.54	1.1118	1.1118	0.6942	1.6016
	T191 South Burlington	K-12	2,402.21	13,560.92	1.3650	1.3650	0.9639	1.4161
	<b>T173 Rutland City</b>	<b>K-12</b>	<b>2,404.85</b>	<b>10,821.72</b>	<b>1.0893</b>	<b>1.0893</b>	<b>0.8640</b>	<b>1.2608</b>
<- Larger	T037 Burlington	PK-12	3,942.52	10,840.83	1.0912	1.0912	0.8804	1.2394

The Legislature has required the Department of Education to provide this information per the following statute:

16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2) The school, at least annually, reports student performance results to community members in a format selected by the school board. . . . The school report shall include:

(K) data provided by the commissioner which enable a comparison with other schools, or school districts if school level data are not available, for cost-effectiveness. The commissioner shall establish which data are to be included pursuant to this subdivision and, notwithstanding that the other elements of the report are to be presented in a format selected by the school board, shall develop a common format to be used by each school in presenting the data to community members. The commissioner shall provide the most recent data available to each school no later than October 1 of each year. Data to be presented may include student-to-teacher ratio, administrator-to-student ratio, administrator-to-teacher ratio, and cost per pupil.

**Comparative Data for Cost-Effectiveness  
16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2)(K)**

School: Rutland Northeast Primary School  
S.U.: Rutland City S.D.

A list of schools and school districts in each cohort may be found on the DOE website under "School Data and Reports":  
<http://www.state.vt.us/educ/>

**FY2009 School Level Data**

**Cohort Description:** Elementary school, enrollment ≥ 200 but <300  
(36 schools in cohort)

**Cohort Rank by Enrollment** (1 is largest)  
8 out of 36

School level data		Grades Offered	Enrollment	Total Teachers	Total Administrators	Stu / Tchr Ratio	Stu / Admin Ratio	Tchr / Admin Ratio
Smaller →	Vergennes UESD #44	K - 6	264	23.00	1.00	11.48	264.00	23.00
	Stowe Elementary School	K - 5	265	22.57	1.00	11.74	265.00	22.57
	East Montpelier Elementary Sch	PK - 6	266	20.49	1.00	12.98	266.00	20.49
	<b>Rutland Northeast Primary School</b>	<b>PK - 2</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>20.50</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>12.98</b>	<b>266.00</b>	<b>20.50</b>
← Larger	Lothrop School	PK - 6	266	19.70	1.00	13.50	266.00	19.70
	Jericho Elementary School	PK - 4	280	18.80	1.00	14.89	280.00	18.80
	Northfield Elementary School	PK - 5	284	23.80	1.00	11.93	284.00	23.80
<b>Averaged SCHOOL cohort data</b>			<b>246.11</b>	<b>21.40</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>11.50</b>	<b>233.16</b>	<b>20.27</b>

School District: Rutland City  
LEA ID: T173

Special education expenditures vary substantially from district to district and year to year. Therefore, they have been excluded from these figures.

The portion of current expenditures made by supervisory unions on behalf of districts varies greatly. This year's figures include district assessments to SUs. Doing so makes districts are more comparable to each other. The consequence is that THESE FIGURES ARE ONLY COMPARABLE TO FIGURES USED IN THE SIMILAR FILE FOR FY2010.

**FY2008 School District Data**

**Cohort Description:** K - 12 school district  
(33 school districts in cohort)

Grades offered in School District    Student FTE enrolled in school district    Current expenditures per student FTE EXCLUDING special education costs

**Cohort Rank by FTE**  
(1 is largest)  
2 out of 33

**School district data (local, union, or joint district)**

Smaller →	Milton	PK-12	1,724.38	\$9,353
	Colchester	K-12	2,125.28	\$10,256
	South Burlington	K-12	2,380.87	\$12,056
	<b>Rutland City</b>	<b>K-12</b>	<b>2,557.45</b>	<b>\$10,320</b>
← Larger	Burlington	PK-12	3,449.46	\$11,879

Current expenditures are an effort to calculate an amount per FTE spent by a district on students enrolled in that district. This figure excludes tuitions and assessments paid to other providers, construction and equipment costs, debt service, adult education, and community service.

**Averaged SCHOOL DISTRICT cohort data**

**825.03    \$11,226**

**FY2010 School District Data**

LEA ID	School District	Grades offered in School District	School district tax rate			Total municipal tax rate, K-12, consisting of prorated member district rates		
			SD Equalized Pupils	SD Education Spending per Equalized Pupil	SD Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	MUN Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	MUN Common Level of Appraisal	MUN Actual Homestead Ed tax rate
Smaller →	T126 Milton	PK-12	1,816.93	11,313.78	1.1388	1.1388	1.0088	1.1289
	T050 Colchester	K-12	2,268.80	11,045.54	1.1118	1.1118	0.6942	1.6016
	T191 South Burlington	K-12	2,402.21	13,560.92	1.3650	1.3650	0.9639	1.4161
	<b>T173 Rutland City</b>	<b>K-12</b>	<b>2,404.85</b>	<b>10,821.72</b>	<b>1.0893</b>	<b>1.0893</b>	<b>0.8640</b>	<b>1.2608</b>
← Larger	T037 Burlington	PK-12	3,942.52	10,840.83	1.0912	1.0912	0.8804	1.2394

The Legislature has required the Department of Education to provide this information per the following statute:

16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2) The school, at least annually, reports student performance results to community members in a format selected by the school board. . . . The school report shall include:

(K) data provided by the commissioner which enable a comparison with other schools, or school districts if school level data are not available, for cost-effectiveness. The commissioner shall establish which data are to be included pursuant to this subdivision and, notwithstanding that the other elements of the report are to be presented in a format selected by the school board, shall develop a common format to be used by each school in presenting the data to community members. The commissioner shall provide the most recent data available to each school no later than October 1 of each year. Data to be presented may include student-to-teacher ratio, administrator-to-student ratio, administrator-to-teacher ratio, and cost per pupil.

